

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,725	01/11/2002	Gerald Tablada Caneba	1992.VIN	4004
75	90 03/10/2004		EXAM	INER
Thomas F. Roland			ZALUKAEVA, TATYANA	
NATIONAL ST	TARCH AND CHEMICAL	L COMPANY		
P.O. Box 6500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0500		1713		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/045,725	CANEBA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tatyana Zalukaeva	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 D	ecember 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· —	ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/045,725

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicants amended claim 1 introducing the limitation OF BLOCK COPOLYMERS BEING TAPERED.
- 2. Claims 5-7 and 9-14 have been cancelled.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 8 are pending.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Menzies et al (U.S. 6,410,464). Menzies discloses styrenic rubbers, which are tapered copolymers (col.5, lines 1,2) as pressure sensitive adhesives. A preferred pressure sensitive adhesive is a copolymer of isooctyl acrylate and acrylic acid in the ratio of 94/6 by weight. Therefore, Menzies anticipates the polymer of the instant claim 1. In the event that one of the ordinary skill in the art would not immediately envisage Applicants' instantly claimed copolymer, then the copolymer is rendered obvious from the disclosure found in Menzies. Menzies contains each of Applicants' instantly claimed comonomer in the claimed ratios and clearly suggests to one of ordinary skill in the art that they be used to obtain tapered copolymers as claimed. Such a suggestion renders obvious applicants' instantly claimed copolymers, and as such, the claims are not patentable.

The rejection of claim 8 is made in the sense of <u>Fitzgerald</u> (205 USPQ 594). (CAFC) based on presumption that the properties governing the claimed <u>copolymers</u> if not taught, may be very well met by the <u>copolymers of Menzies</u>, since the copolymers of

Application/Control Number: 10/045,725

Art Unit: 1713

Menzies are essentially the same as applicants' copolymers, and the burden to show that it is not the case is shifted to applicants; or in the sense of $\ln \underline{re\ Spada}$, 911 F 2d 705, 709 15 USPQ 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990), which settles that when the claimed compositions are not novel, they are not rendered patentable by recitation of properties, whether or not these properties are shown or suggested in prior art.

6. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furukawa et al (U.S. 6,455,133).

Furukawa discloses styrenic resin sheet made of a styrene/vinyl monomer copolymer (col.2, lines 59, 60). Copolymerizable vinyl monomers are provided in col.3, lines 5-20 and include the comonomers of the instant claims, such as methacrylic acid, specifically styrene-methacrylic acid copolymer is identified in col.3, line 23, and it is further emphasized that the copolymers can be tapered block copolymers (see col.3, line 34).

Furukawa does not teach the weight ratio of acrylic acid and styrene copolymer, however, since he suggests the tapered block copolymer, that means he suggests the method of making it, such as retrograde polymerization. Furthermore, relative amounts of monomers in a copolymer depend on relative reactivities of comonomers, so called "copolymerization constants", these copolymerization constants being reference values for each pair of comonomers and they mainly define the relative amounts of comonomers in a copolymer. Therefore, a person skilled in the art would have found obvious to perform retrograde polymerization to obtain the suggested tapered block

Application/Control Number: 10/045,725

Art Unit: 1713

copolymers, and to adjust the conditions in order to obtain the claimed ratios, lacking showing criticality of the claimed ratios on this record.

The rejection of claim 8 is also made in the sense of In re Fitzgerald, as discussed above.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tatyana Zalukaeva whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 5:30.

Art Unit: 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tatyana Zalukaeva, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

February 27, 2004